

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

<u>'</u>			
Robert F. Merchant	40022	2208	
	EXAMI	EXAMINER	
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP		SHAY, DAVID M	
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	3739		
	Robert F. Merchant	EXAMI SHAY, DA ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/616,122	MERCHANT, ROI	BERT F.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		david shay	3739				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati r Reply	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	idress			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, m tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this c me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>May 9, 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		·				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4)  Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date <i><u>Februay 25, 2004</u>.</i>	···,	e of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3739

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24 and 35-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmeller et al in combination with Salansky et al. Schmeller et al teach that lipodermatosclerosis can involve erythema. Salansky et al teaches that edema and inflammation can be treated by exposure to laser radiation in a range from 400 to 2000 nm; multiple treatments; and multiple wavelength treatments. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the parameters of Salansky et al to treat lipodermatosclerosis, since this involves erythema, as taught by Schmeller et al, and extravasation of red cells, official notice of which is hereby taken, and similarly to treat lipodermatosclerosis that is weeping, for the same reasons, thus producing a method such as claimed.

Claims 25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Schmeller et al in combination with Salansky et al as applied to claim 1-24 and 35-42 above, and further in combination with Baranov et al. Baranov et al teach the use of 532 nm radiation to treat skin disorders. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ a 532 nm wavelength in the combined method of Salansky et al and Schmeller et al, since this promotes the growth of the extracelluar matrix by inducing a would healing response without inducing a wound, as taught by Baranov et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak, can be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330